



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/687,344

10/15/2003

Ivan Osorio

011738.00149

7817

70467

7590

07/09/2010

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD  
AND ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NUMBER 011738  
10 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE  
SUITE 3000  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

RAJAN, KAI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3769

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/09/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/687,344	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OSORIO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kai Rajan	<b>Art Unit</b> 3769	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Kai Rajan.

(2) Matthew May.

**Date of Interview:** 7 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** afterfinal, prosecution reopened

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed the status of the application. Applicant filed a response on April 7, 2010 to the final rejection of January 7, 2010. After review of the application, Examiner has reopened prosecution and will issue a new office action on the merits. No further action is required by Applicant at this time.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

/Henry M. Johnson, III/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3769  
 /Kai Rajan/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3769

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)